

La radioterapia ipofrazionata in pazienti con NSCLC in stadio avanzato: esperienza di una singola Istituzione.



Cattedra di Radioterapia Oncologica Università "Sapienza" di Roma Facoltà di Medicina e Psicologia Prof. R. Maurizi Enrici

Dr. S. Bracci

Background

Hypofractionated RT



Higher dose per fraction

Reduced overall treatment time

Improve loco-regional control and survival

- Increased dose to the tumor volume
- Higher BED
- Reduce tumor cell repopulation*



* Van Braardwijk et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008



Systematic review

Dose escalation for non-small cell lung cancer: Analysis and modelling of published literature

Mike Partridge^{a,*}, Mónica Ramos^b, Angela Sardaro^b, Michael Brada^a

 $^{\rm a}$ The Institute of Cancer Research; and $^{\rm b}$ The Royal Marsden NHS Foundation Trust, Sutton, UK



Prescription dose was converted to BED corrected for repopulation. Disease-free survival data were corrected for the stage profile of each cohort

In the normally fractionated schedules improved DFS was generally observed in the shorter schedules (max around 6 weeks). However, the best DFS were obtained for the hypofractionated schedules

No relationship beetween dose and lung or oesophageal toxicity

HypoRT (≤ 6 weeks) is predicted to be more beneficial than HyperRT or Conventional RT

Dose escalation can be conducted safety

Patridge et al. Radiother Oncol 2011.

Background: HypoRT for advanced NSCLC

Study	Year	N. Pts	Stage	Prior CHT*	RT schedule	Concomitant CHT*	Outcome	Grade 3-4 tox.
Tsoutsou <i>et al.</i>	2008	14	IIIB IV	+ (9)/- (5)	<i>3D-CRT</i> 35Gy/10fr (3.5) split 17.5Gy/5fr	Vinorelbine +doxorubicin e Twice/w	2y OS 28% 2y LPFS 19%	No
Matsuura <i>et al.</i>	2009	10	IIIA IIIB	(-)	3D-CRT 65Gy/26fr (2.5) 70Gy/28fr (2.5)	CBDCA/PXT Once/w	2y OS 58% 2y LRFS 45%	No
Kepka <i>et al.</i>	2009	173	IIIA IIIB	+(118)/ - (55)	3DCRT-SIB 56.7Gy/21f (2.7) 60.9Gy/21f (2.9)	No	2y OS 32% 2y LPFS 40%	No G4 G3 11%
Pemberton <i>et.al</i>	2009	47 vs. 93	 (I-II)	+/-	<i>3D-CRT</i> HypoRT (55Gy/20fr) vs. CHART	No	2y OS 45% <i>vs.</i> 34% Median PFS 20 <i>vs.</i> 11.3 m	No G4 G2-3 25% <i>vs.</i> 30%
Bral <i>et al.</i>	2010	40	IIIA IIIB	+/-	<i>H. Tomotherapy</i> 70.5Gy/30fr (2.35)	No	2y OS 27% 2yLPFS 50% 1y MFS 43%	G3-4 30%

Studies regarding HypoRT in advanced stage NSCLC 2008-2010

*CHT: Chemotherapy

Background: HypoRT for advanced NSCLC

Study	Year	N. pts	Stage	Prior CHT*	RT schedule	Concomitant CHT*	Outcome	Grade 3-4 tox.
Zhu <i>et al.</i>	2011	34	111	+(31)/-(3)	3D-CRT 50Gy/20fr (2.5) 65-68Gy/22-23f	No	2y OS 38% 2y PFS 30% 2y LPFS 61%	No G4 Grade3 9%
Schwarzenbergen <i>et al.</i>	2011	36	IIIB IV	+/-	<i>3D-CRT (12w)</i> 60Gy/24fr (2.5Gyx2/d/w)	Oral Vinorelbine Once/w	Median OS 9.9 months SD 75% PD 25%	No
Amini <i>et al.</i>	2012	119 vs. 90 vs. 91	IIIA IIIB	+ (96)/- (23) vs. + (29)/- (61) + (64)/- (27)	3D-CRT 45Gy/15fr (3) vs. 60-63Gy (1.8-2) >63Gy (1.8-2)	No	Response/ OS/PFS (local and distant) NS*	ND*

Studies regarding HypoRT in advanced stage NSCLC 2011-2012

*NS: Not Significant; CHT: Chemotherapy; ND: No Differences.

Background: HypoRT for advanced NSCLC

Studies regarding HypoRT in advanced stage NSCLC 2013

Study	Year	N. pts	Stage	Prior CHT	RT schedule	Concomitant CHT*	Outcome	Grade 3-4 tox.
Gomez <i>et al.</i>	2013	25	T1-4 N0-3	+/-	phase I dose escalation study Proton Therapy 45Gy 3Gy/fx 52.5Gy 3.5Gy/fx 60Gy 4Gy/fx	No	2y OS 38% 2y PFS 30% 2y LPFS 61%	2 pts grade ≥3
Liu <i>et al.</i>	2013	26	IIIB IV	+/-	3D-CRT 60-75 Gy 3Gy/fx	vinorelbin carboplatin	Median OS 13 months CR 27% PR 54% SD 19%	15.4% G3 esophagitis 7.7% G3 Pneumonitis
Omar <i>et al.</i>	2013	609 IIIA 100pts IIIB117pt s IV 4 pts	All stages	168/609	3D-CRT 50-55 Gy 2.75Gy/fx	No	2yOS IIIstage 42% median OS IIIstage 20.5 months	No Grade III-IV toxicities
Cannon <i>et al.</i>	2013	79 66 pts stage III- IV	All stages	Neo 17 Adj 33 Both 3	phase I dose escalation 57Gy 2.28Gy/fx 63.25Gy 2.53Gy/fx 69.25Gy 2.77Gy/fx 75Gy 3Gy/fx 80.5Gy 3.22/fx 85.5Gy 3.22/fx	No	Median OS 16 months 3yOS 29%	No ≥ G3 esophageal tox 6 pts G4 or G5 lung toxicities

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{International Journal of} \\ Radiation Oncology \\ \mbox{biology} \bullet \mbox{physics} \end{array}$

www.redjournal.org

Int J Radiation Oncol Biol Phys, Vol. 85, No. 3, pp. e157–e163, 2013

Clinical Investigation: Thoracic Cancer

Image Guided Hypofractionated 3-Dimensional Radiation Therapy in Patients With Inoperable Advanced Stage Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer

Mattia Falchetto Osti, MD, Linda Agolli, MD, Maurizio Valeriani, MD, Teresa Falco, MD, Stefano Bracci, MD, Vitaliana De Sanctis, MD, and Riccardo Maurizi Enrici, MD

Institute of Radiation Oncology, La Sapienza University, Sant'Andrea Hospital, Rome, Italy

Received Aug 16, 2012, and in revised form Oct 1, 2012. Accepted for publication Oct 8, 2012

Osti et al. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2013.

Current study End-points

- Survivals and local control
- Toxicity rates

Patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer receiving hypofractionated 3DCRT (IGRT)

Materials and methods

Characteristics	No.	%	
Age (years)			
Mean	70		
Range	44-87		
Gender			
Male	35	81.4	43 nts from 2008 to 2012 with
Female	8	18.6	45 pts 11011 2000 to 2012 with
lstage			advanced stage (III/IV) NSCLC
T1	1	23	
T2	12	2.5	
T2	12	34.0	
T4	15	34.9	ECOG performance status < 2
-stage			
N1	5	11.6	
N2	23	53.5	
N3	15	34.9	IV stage pts (≤ 2 metastases)
tage (ajcc 2002)			
IIIA	14	32.6	
IIIB	20	46.5	
IV	9	20.9	
11-stage			
Lung	3	7	
Liver	3	7	
Bone	4	9.3	
Histological type			
Adenocarcinoma	19	44.2	
Squamous cell	17	39.5	
NSCLC, other subtype	7	16.3	

Treatment

Characteristics	No.	%
PTV (cc)		
Median	276	
Range	73-812	
Prior chemotherapy	32	(74)
Platinum-based chemotherapy	27	(84)
+ Docetaxel/Paclitaxel	13	(48)
+ Gemcitabine	10	(37)
+ Vinorelbine	4	(15)
Vinorelbine monotherapy	5	(16)
No Prior chemotherapy	11	(26)
Age	8	(19)
Comorbidities	3	(7)

Radiation therapy

60 Gy in 20 fractions of 3Gy/each for 5 times per week

Overall treatment time 26 days

3-D CT planning, IGRT (daily cone-beam CT)

Radiation therapy

Planning

Patient with Stage IIIA NSCLC







Radiation therapy

Constraints

- Lung : V16 < 25-30%
- MLD (Mean Lung Dose): ≤ 15 Gy
- Heart: V33 < 25%
- Esophagus: V42 < 32%
- Spinal cord: ≤ 36 Gy

 α/β of late responding tissue = 3

 α/β of lung cancer = 10 n - number of fractions d - dose per fraction T - overall treatment time T_k - proliferation time starting at 28 days

 $\mathsf{BED} = nd \left[1 + d/(\alpha/\beta)\right] - \ln_2 \left(T - T_k\right)$

BED (current study) = 79 Gy

Results Response

All patients completed RT treatment

Patterns of response after \leq 6 months from HypoRT completion:

- CR (Complete Response): **4 pts** (10%)
- PR (Partial Response): **29 pts** (67%)
- NR (Non Response): **10 pts** (23%)

Results

<u>Follow up</u> Median 13 months Range: 4-58 months

At the time of analysis

19 pts (44%) alive with median follow up of 12 months (range: 2-41 months)

Distant and local SD: 12 pts

Distant and/or local PD: 7 pts

24 deaths (56%) for: PD local and/or distant: 20 pts (47%)

Other causes: 4 pts (9%)

Overall Survival



Overall Survival



Progression-Free Survival



Local and distant progression



Toxicity rates

Toxicity	Grao	le 1	Grad	e 2	Gra	de 3	Grad	de 4	Tot	al
	N.	%	N.	%	N.	%	N.	%	N.	%
Acute										
Erythema	4	(9)	0	(0)	0	(0)	0	(0)	4	(9)
Esophagitis	6	(14)	10	(23)	1	(2)	0	(0)	17	(40)
Cough	4	(9)	3	(7)	0	(0)	0	(0)	7	(16)
Odynophagia	2	(5)	2	(5)	0	(0)	0	(0)	4	(9)
Pneumonitis	3	(7)	5	(12)	3	(7)	0	(0)	11	(26)
Hematological	6	(14)	2	(5)	1	(2)	0	(0)	9	(21)
Late										
Esophagitis	0	(0)	1	(2)	1	(2)	0	(0)	2	(5)
Pneumonitis	4	(9)	6	(14)	3	(7)	0	(0)	13	(30)

Treatment-related toxicities based on RTOG (Radiation toxicity grading) acute and late morbidity scale.

Acute toxicities:

Late toxicities:

overall Grade 1-2 toxicities (33%);

Esophagitis Grade 1-2: 16 pts (37%), **Grade 3: 1 pts** (2%) Pneumonitis Grade 1-2: 8 pts (19%), **Grade 3: 3pts** (7%) Hematological Grade 1-2: 8 pts (19%), Grade 3: 1pt (2%)

Grade 3 toxicities: esophagitis 1pts and pneumonitis 3pts

Prognostic factors

Variables		ι	Jnivariate a	riate analysis				Multivariate analysis		
	N. pts	OS	PFS	LPFS	MFS	OS	PFS	LPFS	MFS	
Gender	I									
- Male	34	0,894	0,947	0,685	0,778	0.986	0,904	0,622	0,761	
- Female	8									
T size										
- ≥ 5cm	20	0,095	0,032	0,697	0,097	0.223	0,274	0,741	0,171	
- < 5cm	23									
Stage										
- IIIA	13	0,128	0,653	0,667	0,412	0.019	0,084	0,225	0,116	
- IIIB	21									
- IV	9									
Prior chemotherapy										
- Yes	31	0,938	0,161	0,657	0,361	0.527	0,022	0,205	0,436	
- No	12									
PTV										
- ≥ 250 cc	26	0,436	0,939	0,600	0,861	0.843	0,906	0,994	0,833	
- < 250 cc	17									
Histology										
- SCC	17	0,068	0,134	0,388	0,820	0.087	0,079	0,254	0,757	
- Non SCC	26									
Age										
- ≥75	30	0,427	0,838	0,491	0,778	0.678	0,108	0,211	0,883	
- <75	13									
Response										
- CR	4	0,295	0,195	0,825	0,075	0.108	0,266	0,713	0,151	
- PR	29									
- NR	10									

Conclusions

Hypofractionated radiation therapy offers good disease control for advanced inoperable NSCLC patients PROSPECTIVE STUDIES

Acceptable toxicity rates – 3DRT/IGRT

RANDOMIZED TRIALS RT patterns HypoRT vs. Standard RT - CHT ins to be defined







Associazione Italiana Radioterapia Oncologica

Grazie