



Associazione
Italiana
Radioterapia
Oncologica



Aspetti fisici e radiobiologici dell'ipofrazionamento

Stefano Pergolizzi




Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013

TABLE I. Comparison of typical characteristics of 3D/IMRT radiotherapy and SBRT.

Characteristic	3D/IMRT	SBRT
Dose/fraction	1.8–3 Gy	6–30 Gy
No. of fractions	10–30	1–5
Target definition	CTV/PTV (gross disease+clinical extension): Tumor may not have a sharp boundary.	GTV/CTV/ITV/PTV (well-defined tumors: GTV=CTV)
Margin	Centimeters	Millimeters
Physics/dosimetry monitoring	Indirect	Direct
Required setup accuracy	TG40, TG142	TG40, TG142
Primary imaging modalities used for treatment planning	CT	Multimodality: CT/MR/PET-CT
Redundancy in geometric verification	No	Yes
Maintenance of high spatial targeting accuracy for the entire treatment	Moderately enforced (moderate patient position control and monitoring)	Strictly enforced (sufficient immobilization and high frequency position monitoring through integrated image guidance)
Need for respiratory motion management	Moderate—Must be at least considered	Highest
Staff training	Highest	Highest+special SBRT training
Technology implementation	Highest	Highest
Radiobiological understanding	Moderately well understood	Poorly understood
Interaction with systemic therapies	Yes	Yes



Problema





A. Bersagli “fissi”

Encefalo

Colonna

Altri



B. Bersagli “in movimento”

Polmone

Fegato

Altri



Immobilizzazione



Acquisizione immagini



Pianificazione



Trattamento






Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013

Framed



Frame-less



Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013

Laser Sagittale

Laser Laterale sinistro



Laser Laterale destro



CT Sim Version 5.2

Arm	Requested Position	Enter Position	Laser Status	Last Date Calibrated	Zero Status
X	55.0 mm	<input type="text"/>	OFF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	06/29/11	Zero positioned at CT isocenter All Lasers GOTO DEFINED ZERO LASERS ON LASERS OFF REDEFINE ZERO RESTORE DEFAULT ZERO
Z1	100.0 mm	<input type="text"/>	OFF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	06/29/11	
Z2	100.0 mm	<input type="text"/>	OFF <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	06/29/11	

Z1 & Z2 Linked

Current Autorun File: Date:

Title:
 Comments:

Points:


Point Number Or Label	X	Z1	Z2	Y
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Click on grid row to run data points.

Autorun Files Received:

Coordinate System:





Review Article
**Respiratory Gating for Radiotherapy: Main Technical Aspects
 and Clinical Benefits**

Philippe Giraud¹ and Annie Houle²

Figure 1: The VARIAN RPM system. An infrared camera (a) illuminates a marker on which are attached two reflectors (b). The marker is placed on the patient's abdomen.



Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013

Treatment Planning

Rapida caduta di dose fuori dal target


Rispetto organi a rischio



Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013

Treatment Planning

-Uso di campi multipli “concentrici”



-Numero adeguato di fasci mantenendo entrance dose <30% dose cumulativa

-Evitare sovrapposizione fasci



Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013

Multiple Beams

5 – 11 static beam OR 1 – 3 arcs




Fig. 3. Treatment plan for the patient that developed Grade 4 skin toxicity without any correction for swelling through the cranial modification device (right) and with 1 use of bolus to account for the cranial modification device (left).

B Hoppe et al, IJROBP, 2008



Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013

Treatment Planning

-Energia fotonica 6MV.

-Prescrizione dose ad isodosi “basse” (es. 80%) -> No margine per penombra

-Dosi di tolleranza degli organi a rischio diverse rispetto frazionamento standard



Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013





Fig. 1. Distribution de dose axiale à l'isocentre pour le palier 70.4 Gy_(dose biologique effective) dans le scénario 1. a : protonthérapie ; b : radiothérapie stéréotaxique robotisée ; c : tomothérapie ; d : archthérapie. La radiothérapie conformationnelle tridimensionnelle a été comparée avec les techniques innovantes telles que : modulation d'intensité statique, tomothérapie hélicoïdale, archthérapie volumétrique modulée, radiothérapie stéréotaxique robotisée et protonthérapie avec diffusion passive. Axial dose distribution for a prescribed dose of 70.4 Gy_(RBE) in the isocentric slice in case 1. a: passive scattering protontherapy; b: stereotactic body robotic radiotherapy; c: helical tomotherapy; d: volumetric modulated arc therapy.







Figure 1. Patient with solitary liver metastasis (CTV = 84 cm³) planned with IMRT (left) and IMPT (right). Threshold for dose colour wash is 15 Gy. Red and purple lines indicate CTV and PTV, respectively.





Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013

Sterilizzazione senza danno scrotale



Treviso, 7 Giugno 2013

Perché frazionare?.....

le 4 R della Radiobiologia

	TUMORE	TESSUTO SANO
RIPARAZIONE	bad	good
RIPOPOLAZIONE	bad	good
RIDISTRIBUZIONE	good	bad
RIOSSIGENAZIONE	good	bad

- La difficoltà principale è tradurre in parametri biologici realistici.



Modello Lineare Quadratico : Dose e Frazionamento in Radioterapia

- ▶ Il modello predittivo "LINEARE QUADRATICO" consente di valutare l'efficacia delle diverse schedule di frazionamento.
- ▶ Il modello lineare quadratico assume che vi siano 2 componenti da considerare per quanto concerne il cell-killing mediato da radiazioni ionizzanti
 - 1) una componente proporzionale alla dose
 - 2) una componente proporzionale al quadrato della dose

$$S = e^{-\alpha D - \beta D^2}$$

- ▶ La formula più comunemente in uso per il calcolo della dose di isoeffetto con il *modello LQ* è:

$$BED = nd (1 + d/[\alpha/\beta])$$

Dal punto di vista clinico, per esempio, la stessa dose totale di 40 Gy, erogata in 20 frazioni da 2 Gy, in 10 frazioni da 4 Gy, o in 4 frazioni da 10 Gy corrisponderà ai seguenti BEDs:

- 20 frazioni da 2 Gy → BED = 48 Gy
- 10 frazioni da 4 Gy → BED = 56 Gy
- 4 frazioni da 10 Gy → BED = 80 Gy

Modello lineare quadratico

E' pratico (pochi parametri)

Valore predittivo ben documentato

Dubbia validità per frazioni >8-10Gy

Non è basato su modelli biologici

Ignora la presenza di sottopopolazioni cellulari

Ignora il danno vascolare e stromale

Ignora i meccanismi molecolari e le cellule staminali neoplastiche



The Linear-Quadratic Model Is an **Appropriate** Methodology for Determining Isoeffective Doses at Large Doses Per Fraction

David J. Brenner, PhD, DSc

The tool most commonly used for quantitative predictions of dose/fractionation dependencies in radiotherapy is the mechanistically based linear-quadratic (LQ) model. The LQ formalism is now almost universally used for calculating radiotherapeutic isoeffect doses for different fractionation/protraction schemes. In summary, the LQ model has the following useful properties for predicting isoeffect doses: (1) it is a mechanistic, biologically based model; (2) it has sufficiently few parameters to be practical; (3) most other mechanistic models of cell killing predict the same fractionation dependencies as does the LQ model; (4) it has well-documented predictive properties for fractionation/dose-rate effects in the laboratory; and (5) it is reasonably well validated, experimentally and theoretically, up to about 10 Gy/fraction and would be reasonable for use up to about 18 Gy per fraction. To date, there is no evidence of problems when the LQ model has been applied in the clinic. Semin Radiat Oncol 18:234-239 © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.




The Linear-Quadratic Model Is **Inappropriate** to Model High Dose per Fraction Effects in Radiosurgery

John P. Kirkpatrick, MD, PhD, Jeffrey J. Meyer, MD, and Lawrence B. Marks, MD

The linear-quadratic (LQ) model is widely used to model the effect of total dose and dose per fraction in conventionally fractionated radiotherapy. Much of the data used to generate the model are obtained in vitro at doses well below those used in radiosurgery. Clinically, the LQ model often underestimates tumor control observed at radiosurgical doses. The underlying mechanisms implied by the LQ model do not reflect the vascular and stromal damage produced at the high doses per fraction encountered in radiosurgery and ignore the impact of radioresistant subpopulations of cells. The appropriate modeling of both tumor control and normal tissue toxicity in radiosurgery requires the application of emerging understanding of molecular-, cellular-, and tissue-level effects of high-dose/fraction-ionizing radiation and the role of cancer stem cells.

Semin Radiat Oncol 18:240-243 © 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Fisiopatologia della Radiochirurgia



Maldaun M.V.C. Neurosurg Rev 2008



Exploring the Possibility of Unique Molecular, Biological, and Tissue Effects With Hypofractionated Radiotherapy

Michael Story, PhD, Reinhard Kodym, MD, and Debabrata Saha, PhD

1. Alte dosi -> Perdita massiva di cell. tumorali -> Influenza su sopravv. in regioni a basse dosi
2. Effetto bystander oltre il PTV
3. Alte dosi -> Perdita massiva di cell. tumorali -> Perdita stimolo crescita autocrina e paracrina

Perché tossicità inferiore a quella attesa?

1. Pochi dati a lungo termine
2. Modello LQ non applicabile all'ipofrazionamento "estremo"
3. Il rapporto alfa/beta conosciuto proviene dall'era RT 2D
4. Dosi molto alte a volumi molto piccoli

Prospettive di studio

- Effetto inibitorio sulle cellule dello stroma tumorale

1orte cellulare in gran parte per danno alla vascolarizzazione tumorale

- Attivazione di cellule CD8+ T tumore-specifiche

Combinazione ipofrazionamento/agenti biologici (antiangiogenici-immunomodulatori)



Associazione
Italiana
Radioterapia
Oncologica

[HOME](#) [INFO GENERALI](#) [ISCRIZIONI](#) [SEGRETERIA ALBERGHIERA](#) [ABSTRACTS](#) [SEDE DEL CONGRESSO](#) [CONTATTI](#)

XXIII CONGRESSO AIRO

Giardini Naxos - Taormina, 26 - 29 ottobre



Regione Siciliana - Autonomia Regionale - Sede di Cassaro del Golfo
Dipartimento di Scienze della Salute - Università di Palermo
Servizio Radioterapia - Ospedale "A. Naxos" di Taormina

Presidente AIRO

Giovanni Mandoliti

Presidenti del Congresso

Francesco Marletta - Stefano Pergolizzi

Coordinatore Comitato Organizzatore

Andrea Gliando

Presidenti Onorari

Costantino De Renzi - Giampaolo Biti

SEGRETERIA ORGANIZZATIVA

Studio ER Congressi - Triumph Group
Via Marconi 36 - 40122 Bologna
Tel. 051 4210559 - Fax 051 4210174
E-mail: ercongressi@triumphgroup.it
Web: www.ercongressi.it

SEGRETERIA ALBERGHIERA

Via Ugo Bassi, 13 - 40121 Bologna
Tel. 051 263281 - Fax 051 224426
E-mail: airo@viaggi.salvedori.it
Web: www.viaggi.salvedori.it

SEGRETERIA AIRO

Via Farini 62 - 00185 Roma
Tel./Fax 06 4741524
E-mail: segreteria@radioterapietalia.it
Web: www.radioterapietalia.it



Associazione
Italiana
Radioterapia
Oncologica